

***The Journal of Maine Medical Center***  
**Peer Reviewer Form**

***Reviewers are reminded not to submit manuscript to any Artificial Intelligence portal***

Title of manuscript:

Summary and overall impressions:

**RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT**

Major Recommendations:

Minor Recommendations:

**PRESENTATION**

Overall readability	Excellent	Good	Mediocre	Poor
Acceptable language and grammar?	Yes		No	
Acceptable acronyms?	Yes	No	N/A	
Did the author[s] state they used a Health Research Reporting Guideline (e.g., EQUATOR Network) appropriately?	Yes	No	N/A	
Are statements supported by appropriate citations?	Yes	No	N/A	
Is the manuscript of interest to JMMC readers?	Yes		No	
Is the manuscript in keeping with the mission of JMMC? Areas of focus include healthcare innovation, interprofessional healthcare and education, rural medicine, professional engagement and development.	Yes		No	

Comments:

**TITLE**

Is the title clear and appropriate (not overreaching)?	Yes		No	
Are key words appropriate	Yes	No	N/A	

Comments:

**ABSTRACT**

Can the abstract be understood without reading the manuscript?	Yes	No	N/A	
Does the abstract appropriately summarize the manuscript without omitting important results or overreaching?	Yes	No	N/A	

Comments:

INTRODUCTION			
Is the topic of clinical, educational or scientific importance?	Yes	No	N/A
Is the previous literature adequately discussed and referenced?	Yes	No	N/A
Is the rationale provided by the authors sufficient to warrant this research?	Yes	No	N/A
Are the purpose or research question[s] clearly defined?	Yes	No	N/A
Is the purpose or methodology novel?	Yes	No	N/A
Comments:			
METHODS			
<p><i>Rigor can be defined as the degree to which research methods are scrupulously and meticulously carried out in order to recognize important influences occurring in the process of conducting the research. It is a set of standards investigators use to evaluate the quality, trustworthiness, and value of research. Liu, X. (2017). Rigor. In M. Allen (Ed.), The sage encyclopedia of communication research methods (pp. 1512-1514). SAGE Publications, Inc., <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781483381411.n530">https://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781483381411.n530</a></i></p>			
Is there adequate rationale for study design?	Yes	No	N/A
Is the overall study design robust and appropriate for the aim of the study?	Yes	No	N/A
Is the methodology appropriate and sufficiently supportive of the study design?	Yes	No	N/A
Enough detail for others to reproduce the work?	Yes	No	N/A
Information about human subjects protection appropriate? (IRB)	Yes	No	N/A
Comments:			
RESULTS			
Results are presented clearly in a logical order?	Yes	No	N/A
Are all items in purpose/methodology sufficiently addressed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are data analyzed appropriately?	Yes	No	N/A
Is there sufficient significance to the data to warrant the conclusion?	Yes	No	N/A
Comments:			
DISCUSSION / CONCLUSION			
Is the interpretation of the results supported by the data?	Yes	No	N/A
Are arguments appropriate and aligned with the study aim and not overreaching?	Yes	No	N/A
Are all important points discussed (e.g. limitations, suggestions for future)?	Yes	No	N/A
Are conclusions justified by results found in the study?	Yes	No	N/A
Comments:			

REFERENCES			
Are important references included, up-to-date and list not too lengthy?	Yes	No	N/A
Are references appropriately cited and formatted?	Yes	No	N/A
Comments:			
FIGURES / TABLES			
All figures necessary?	Yes	No	N/A
Are any additional figures needed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are figures sufficiently clear?	Yes	No	N/A
Tables are acceptable in their present form and format?	Yes	No	N/A
Comments:			